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## Number Word Acquisition is Protracted

- Children recite the count sequence early but take 1-2 years to learn meanings of the first few number words. Samples are typically from mid-SES homes in industrialized societies.
- Subset-knowers (1-knowers, 2-knowers, 3-knowers, 4-knowers) vs. CP-knowers (e.g, Wynn, 1990, 1992; Le Corre et al., 2006, among others; see Sarnekca, 2015; Cheung \& Ansari, for reviews)


## Conceptual Change Account of Number Word Acquisition

- Children learn small number words map onto parallel individuation representations, but PI represents individual objects and do not represent number inherently (Carey, 2009; Wagner et al., 2015)
- Existing studies tend to focus on CP acquisition


## Goal: Testing the Conceptual Change Account in Small NW Acquisition What predicts children's small number word acquisition?

## Hypotheses:

1. Children who have acquired quantifier meanings and who can reliably represent small sets of individuals in working memory would learn the meaning of $\mathrm{N}+1$.
2. Children who have partial knowledge of $N+1$ would also be more likely to learn the meaning of $N+1$.

## Causal Learning Paradigm



Number Box: When the right number of objects go in, it makes music. Types: $\mathrm{N}-1$ box, N box, $\mathrm{N}+1$ box

Preliminary Results:

- 9 out of 15 children correctly gave $\mathrm{N}+1$ on Give-N
- Learners were better on Non-verbal set ( $M=2.4 / 3$ ) than non-learners ( $M=1.8 / 3$ ).
- They likely did not differ on Sing/PI ( $M=2.1$ vs. 2.3 , out of 4 ) or GiveQ (children gave all regardless of which quantifier was used)

